IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 18388 of 2006**

**DATED: 4-9-2006**

**Between:**

Nallamotu Joseph petitioner

And

Indian Overseas Bank
Chandramnoulinagar Branch
Guntur
Rep by its Branch Manager, Guntur and another Respondents

-
-

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 18388 OF 2006**

ORAL ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondents in not releasing the retirement benefits to the petitioner.

The petitioner was appointed as messenger in the first respondent bank on 9.7.1973 and promoted to the post of Clerk-cum-cashier in the year 1980. The petitioner states that due to ill health and personal problems, he could not attend to duties regularly, as such he was issued with a show cause notice and ultimately by proceedings dated 30-9-2005, he was compulsorily retired from service with superannuation benefits. The grievance of the petitioner is that in spite of several representations, the respondents are not releasing his retirement benefits. The petitioner also issued a legal notice dated 17-7-2006 and the same was replied by first respondent vide reply notice dated 8-8-2006 indicating the attachment orders in various Court against the petitioner and stating that settlement of superannuation benefits is pending with the Central office. Hence, the present writ petition seeking a direction to release the retirement benefits.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner and perused the material available on record.

The case of the petitioner is that after compulsory retirement from service vide orders dated 30.9.2005, he made several representations to the authorities to release his retirement benefits and the same were

kept in cold storage and no action is taken. But, the petitioner has not given details of such representation nor filed copies of such representations. Admittedly, the legal notice issued by the petitioner was replied by the first respondent vide reply notice dated 8.8.2006.

In the circumstances, the only direction that can be given in the present writ petition is to direct the respondents to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner, if any pending.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

DATE: 4-9-2006
TVK

26

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 18388 of 2006**

**DATED: 4-9-2006**